November 13, 2019

United States House of Representatives Committee on Science, Space and Technology The Honorable Eddie Bernice Johnson, Chairwoman The Honorable Frank Lucas, Ranking Member Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairwoman Johnson and Ranking Member Lucas:

Thank you for taking the time to hold today's hearing on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) "Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science" proposed rule. As 62 public health, medical, academic, and scientific groups representing millions of Americans, we write to reiterate our ongoing concern over the rule. We hope this oversight hearing will shed further light on the rule's detrimental impacts on EPA's policymaking abilities.

We strongly oppose EPA's efforts to restrict the use of the best available science in its policymaking. Please request that EPA ensure research is protected, and ensure this rule does not move forward.

We support the goal of improving the transparency of science and access to data. When feasible, scientists should strive for appropriate public access to data to maximize utility and trust in the scientific process. However, there are many credible scientific studies where the exposure of raw data to the public is infeasible or would reveal confidential patient or research participant information. The National Academy of Sciences has long opposed such action, stating "[s]ince unrestricted access can cause harm to individuals and also conflicts directly with respect for individual autonomy, it is not an appropriate policy."ⁱ

The research EPA relies on to make determinations is already transparent in most cases. Many scientific journals and research agencies now have policies governing the sharing of data among researchers and with appropriate access by the public at large. This would also put EPA at odds with the approaches in place at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the Food and Drug Administration.

If EPA excludes studies because the data cannot be made public, people may be exposed to real harm. The result would be decisions affecting millions based on inadequate information that fails to include well-supported studies by expert scientists. These efforts will not improve the quality of science used by EPA nor allow the agency to fulfill its mandate of protecting human health and the environment.

For the sake of the country's health, EPA must not restrict this research.

Sincerely:

Allergy & Asthma Network	American Geriatrics Society
Alliance for Aging Research	American Heart Association
American Academy of Pediatrics	American Institute of Biological Sciences
American Autoimmune Related Diseases Association	American Lung Association
American Brain Coalition	American Parkinson Disease Association
American College of Physicians	American Physiological Society
American Geophysical Union	American Public Health Association

American Society for Investigative Pathology	Medical Society Consortium on Climate and Health
American Sociological Association	National Association of County and City Health Officials
American Thoracic Society	National Center for Environmental Health Strategies
Association of American Universities	National Eczema Association
Association of Public Health Laboratories	National Multiple Sclerosis Society
Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health	Parkinson's Foundation
Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America	Physicians for Social Responsibility
Autism Speaks	Princeton University
Big Cities Health Coalition	Society for the Study of Evolution
Bridge the Gap - SYNGAP Education and Research Foundation Society for the Study of Reproduction	
Center for Open Science	Society of Toxicology
Center for Reproductive Rights	Stony Brook University
Children's Environmental Health Network	The Association of Public and Land-Grant Universities
Cornell University	The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research
Council on Governmental Relations	Union of Concerned Scientists
Endocrine Society	The University of California System
Geological Society of America	University of California, Berkeley
Harvard University	University of California, Los Angeles
Health Care Without Harm	University of California, Merced
Healthy Schools Network	University of California, Riverside
Huntington Breast Cancer Action Coalition, Inc.	University of California, San Francisco
International Essential Tremor Foundation	University of California, Santa Cruz
International Society for Environmental Epidemiology	University of Florida
Medical Advocates for Healthy Air	University of Washington

¹ National Research Council. 2000. *Improving Access to and Confidentiality of Research Data: Report of a Workshop*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/9958